SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 1994

Or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF

SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the Transition period from _______ to

Registrant; State of Incorporation; IRS

Employer

Commission File Number Address; and Telephone Number

Identification

No.

PORTLAND GENERAL CORPORATION

93-0909442

1-5532

(an Oregon Corporation)

121 SW Salmon Street Portland, Oregon 97204

(503) 464-8820

1-5532-99 93-0256820 PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY

(an Oregon Corporation)

121 SW Salmon Street Portland, Oregon 97204

(503) 464-8000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrants (1) have filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrants were required to file such reports), and (2) have been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X . No

The number of shares outstanding of the registrants' common stocks as of July 31, 1994 are:

Portland General Corporation 50,285,571
Portland General Electric Company 42,758,877
(owned by Portland General Corporation)

Pa	age	
Nun	nber	
Part	I.	Portland General Corporation and Subsidiaries Financial Information
		Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
	3	
		Statements of Income
	14	
		Statements of Retained Earnings
	14	
		Balance Sheets
	15	
	10	Statements of Capitalization
	16	Statements of Cash Flow
	17	Statements of Gash Flow
		Notes to Financial Statements
	18	
		Portland General Electric Company and Subsidiaries Financial Information
	25	
Part	II.	Other Information
		Item 1 - Legal Proceedings
	30	
		Item 4 - Results of Votes of Security Holders
	30	
		Item 6 - Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K
	31	

Signature Page

32

Subsidiaries

Management's Discussion and Analysis of

Financial

Condition and Results of Operations

Financial and Operating Outlook

Utility

General Rate Filing

On November 8, 1993, Portland General Electric Company (PGE or the Company)

filed a request with the Oregon Public Utility Commission (PUC) to increase

electric prices by an average of 5% beginning January 1, 1995. Commercial

and industrial customers' rates would increase, on average, 3.2%.

proposed increase in average annual revenues is \$43 million, after the

effects of the Regional Power Act (RPA) exchange benefits. Under provisions

of the Regional Power Act PGE exchanges its higher-cost power for lower-

cost federal hydroelectric power with Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)

and passes the benefits to residential and small farm customers.

requested a return on equity of 11.5%, down from the current authorized

return of 12.5%. If approved, this would be the Company's first general

price increase since 1991.

The general rate filing includes PGE's request for continued recovery of

Trojan Nuclear Plant (Trojan) costs including decommissioning, operating

expenses, taxes, return of capital invested in the plant and return on the

undepreciated investment. PGE's current rates include recovery of these

Trojan costs. In May 1994, the PUC issued an order to delay consideration

of the Trojan-related issues and cost of capital in order to allow the PUC

Staff to retain an expert to consult and advise the PUC regarding Trojan issues.

In July 1994, PGE and the PUC Staff agreed to delay a final order addressing all rate case matters to no later than March 31, 1995, contingent upon the PUC approving PGE's first quarter 1995 deferred

accounting application. In the application the Company seeks to defer, for

later collection, certain costs incurred from January 1, 1995, through

March 31, 1995, or until the PUC issues an order in the general rate case,

if earlier (see the Power Cost Recovery discussion below). If approved,

the amount of revenues PGE will be allowed to collect will be the lesser of the recorded deferral, PGE's requested

increase or

the same level of revenue as if rates had become effective January 1, 1995.

The delay, requested by the PUC Staff, provides additional review time for

the PUC Staff's expert and allows the PUC more time to review the entire

rate proceeding and issue a final order. The Company expects the PUC Staff's recommendations regarding Trojan issues and cost of capital in September 1994.

Recovery of power cost deferrals is addressed in separate rate proceedings, not in the general rate case (see the discussion of Power Cost Recovery below).

Subsidiaries

Management's Discussion and Analysis of

Financial

Condition and Results of Operations

Trojan Related Issues

Shutdown - In early 1993, PGE ceased commercial operation of Trojan as

recommended in PGE's Least Cost Plan (LCP). On June 3, 1993 the PUC

acknowledged PGE's LCP.

Decommissioning Estimate - The Company estimates the total cost to

decommission Trojan, including costs incurred to date, to be \$409 million,

reflected in nominal dollars (actual dollars expected to be spent in each

year). The decommissioning cost estimate includes the cost of decommissioning planning, removal and burial of irradiated equipment and

facilities as required by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC); building

demolition and nonradiological site remediation; and spent nuclear fuel $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

management costs including licensing, surveillance and transition costs.

Transition costs of \$75 million are the costs associated with operating and

maintaining the spent fuel pool and securing the plant until dismantlement

can begin. While most decommissioning costs will utilize funds from PGE's $\,$

Nuclear Decommissioning Trust (NDT), transition costs will continue to be

paid from current operating funds.

The decommissioning plan represents a site-specific decommissioning cost

estimate performed for Trojan by an experienced decommissioning engineering

firm and assumes that the majority of decommissioning activities will occur

between 1998 and 2002, after construction of a temporary dry spent fuel $\,$

storage facility. Decommissioning of the temporary dry spent fuel storage

facility and final nonradiological site remediation activities will occur

in 2018 after PGE completes shipment of spent fuel to a United States

Department of Energy (USDOE) facility. In 1994, transition costs have

leveled off and are estimated to continue at \$10 to \$15 million per year.

As of June 30, 1994 the Company has expensed approximately \$6 million in

transition costs for 1994. In addition, since plant closure the Company

has spent \$2 million on decommissioning planning and related activities

resulting in a remaining decommissioning liability, including transition

costs, of \$401 million.

PGE plans to submit a formal decommissioning plan to the NRC and $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Energy}}$

Facility Siting Council of Oregon (EFSC) in late 1994. This is later than

the previously expected submittal date of mid-1994 due to the additional $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

time required to further evaluate decommissioning options. The $\ensuremath{\mathsf{NRC}}$ and

EFSC rules require the plan be submitted before January 23, 1995.

Presently, PGE is planning to accelerate the removal of some of Trojan 's

large components which is expected to result in overall decommissioning

cost savings. Since the Company plans to begin this work in 1994, prior to $\,$

receiving NRC and EFSC approval of its formal decommissioning plan.

specific approval will be required from EFSC. Request for this approval

was filed with EFSC on July 7, 1994. Additionally, the NRC must approve

the use of PGE's NDT funds for removal of large components.

Subsidiaries

Management's Discussion and Analysis of

Financial

Condition and Results of Operations

Assumptions used to develop the site-specific cost estimate for decommissioning represent the best information PGE has currently.

The

Company is continuing to evaluate various options which could change the

timing and scope of decommissioning activities and expects any future

changes in estimated decommissioning costs to be incorporated in future $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =0$

revenues to be collected from customers.

Investment Recovery - In its general rate filing PGE requested continued $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right) +\left$

recovery of Trojan plant costs, including decommissioning. See the General

Rate Filing discussion above for details regarding the order to delay the

schedule for Trojan-related issues and the agreement to delay issuance of a

final order addressing all rate case matters.

The analysis performed for the LCP assumed that continued recovery of the $\,$

Trojan plant investment, including future decommissioning costs, would be

granted by the PUC. Regarding the authority of the PUC to grant recovery,

the Oregon Department of Justice (Attorney General) issued an opinion that

the PUC may allow rate recovery of total plant costs, including operating

expenses, taxes, decommissioning costs, return of capital invested in the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

plant and return on the undepreciated investment. While the Attorney

General's opinion does not guarantee recovery of costs associated with the

shutdown, it does clarify that under current law the PUC has authority to $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right$

allow recovery of such costs in rates.

PGE asked the PUC to resolve certain legal and policy questions regarding $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

the statutory framework for future ratemaking proceedings related to the

recovery of the Trojan investment and decommissioning costs. On August 9.

1993 the PUC issued a declaratory ruling agreeing with the Attorney

General's opinion discussed above. The ruling also stated that the $\mathop{\rm PUC}$

will favorably consider allowing PGE to recover in rates some or all of its

return on and return of its undepreciated investment in Trojan, including

decommissioning costs, if PGE meets certain conditions. PGE believes that $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

its general rate filing provides evidence that satisfies the conditions

established by the PUC. See Legal Proceedings for further discussion of

legal challenges to the declaratory ruling.

Management believes that the PUC will grant future revenues to cover all,

or substantially all, of Trojan plant costs with an appropriate return.

However, future recovery of the Trojan plant investment and future

decommissioning costs requires PUC approval in a public

regulatory process.

Although the PUC has allowed PGE to continue, on an interim basis,

collection of these costs in the same manner as prescribed in the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Company's}}$

last general rate proceeding, the PUC has yet to address recovery of costs

related to a prematurely retired plant when the decision to close the plant $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

was based upon a least cost planning process. Due to uncertainties $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

inherent in a public process, management cannot predict, with certainty, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

whether all, or substantially all, of the \$355 million Trojan plant

investment and \$346 million of decommissioning charges (to be

collected through future rates) will be recovered.

Management believes the ultimate outcome of this public regulatory process

will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition,

liquidity or capital resources of Portland General. However, it may have a $\,$

material impact on the results of operations for a future reporting period.

Subsidiaries

Management's Discussion and Analysis of

Financial

Condition and Results of Operations

SCE Complaint - On August 3, 1994, Southern California Edison (SCE) filed a complaint claiming PGE's decision to close Trojan violated the terms of a long-term firm power sales and exhcange agreement entered into on July 31, 1986. The 25-year contract is for 75 megawatts of firm energy and capacity plus a 225 megawatt seasonal exchange.

SCE contends that PGE appointed itself liquidator of a substantial portion of its assets under the general bankruptcy default provision of the contract. SCE is seeking termination of the agreement and damages, including a return of payments made to PGE from the date of PGE's alleged default (approximately \$27 million).

Under the agreement SCE is obligated to pay to PGE a reservation fee for system capacity, seasonal exchange and other services equal to \$16.9 million annually. SCE continues to make these payments.

The Company will vigorously defend itself and believes it will succeed in the defense of these claims. See the Legal Proceedings discussion below.

Power Cost Recovery

In early 1993, the PUC authorized PGE to defer 80% of the incremental power

costs incurred from December 4, 1992 through March 31, 1993 to replace

Trojan generation. In total, \$44 million of accrued revenues were recorded

for later collection. In accordance with Oregon law collection is subject

to PUC review of PGE's reported earnings, adjusted for the regulatory

treatment of unusual and/or nonrecurring items, as well as the determination of an appropriate rate of return on equity for the deferral $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

period. In early 1994, the PUC granted approval for full recovery and PGE $\,$

began collection in April 1994. Amounts will be collected over a three $\,$

year period.

In August 1993, the PUC authorized PGE to defer, for later collection. 50%

of the incremental replacement power costs incurred from July 1, 1993

through March 31, 1994. The PUC granted the lower deferral rate to reflect

expected nuclear operating cost savings. In total, \$49 million of revenues

were recorded. The amount of revenues PGE will be allowed to collect will

be established by the PUC following its review of PGE earnings,

adjusted, and its determination of a rate of return on equity for the April

1, 1993 through March 31, 1994 review period. The PUC approved a 45-day

extension to allow PGE to submit its filing to the PUC by August 15, 1994.

PGE has since filed a request to delay this earnings review to June 30,

1995 to coincide with the timing of the review of the first quarter 1995 $\,$

power cost deferral (see discussion below). If approved by the PUC , this

will result in a concurrent review of PGE's earnings for these separate

deferral periods.

In July 1994, PGE filed an application to defer, for later collection, 40% of the incremental replacement power costs incurred from January 1, 1995

through March 31, 1995, or until a PUC order in the general rate

case, if

earlier (see the General Rate Filing discussion above). PGE expects PUC

approval of its application by fall 1994. In addition to the limitation discussed in the General Rate Filing section above, the amount of revenues PGE would

be allowed to collect will be established by the PUC following

Subsidiaries

Management's Discussion and Analysis of

Financial

Condition and Results of Operations

its review

of PGE earnings, as adjusted, and its determination of a rate of return on equity for the April 1, 1994 through March 31, 1995 review

period. PGE

would file an earnings review by June 30, 1995.

Power Supply

Restoration of Salmon Runs - The Snake River chinook salmon has been listed

as a threatened species and the Snake River sockeye salmon has been listed

as endangered under the federal Endangered Species Act. The National

Marine Fisheries Service proposed minor changes to current river operations

in a draft recovery plan. In April 1994, a U.S. District Court judge

rejected the draft recovery plan. In May 1994, the federal government

ordered a temporary spilling of water over the Columbia and Snake River

dams in an attempt to increase the number of salmon that survive their

downriver trip to the Pacific Ocean. This emergency spill was halted in

July 1994, when it was not clear that the spill actually helped the $\,$

migrating fish.

PGE purchases power from many sources including the mid-Columbia dams run

by the federal government. Reductions in the amount of water allowed to

flow through the dams' turbines reduce the amount and increase the cost of $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

power available to purchase on a non-contract or secondary basis.

The

attempt to improve fish passage by releasing more water from the reservoirs

in the spring and summer could mean less water available in the fall and

winter when the demand for electricity in the Pacific Northwest is the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

highest. This could lead to higher costs for hydro power and the need to $\hfill \hfill$

run more expensive gas- and coal-fired plants.

Fuel Supply

In May 1994, PGE signed an agreement with Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E) to $\,$

take assignment of a portion of PG&E's excess firm natural gas transportation on the Pacific Gas Transmission (PGT) system. In July 1994,

PGE signed an agreement with Alberta & Southern (A&S) to take assignment of

a portion of PG&E's excess Canadian firm transportation on both the Alberta

Natural Gas (ANG) system and the Nova Corporation of Alberta (NOVA) system.

These agreements collectively provide for $41,000~\mathrm{MMBtu/day}$ of delivered

capacity to PGE's existing and proposed natural gas-fired generating

facilities and replace earlier agreements PGE signed with PGT and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

ANG.

Service under these agreements is scheduled to start in winter 1995/6.

Under

the terms of the agreements, PGE is committed to paying annual $\ensuremath{\mathsf{demand}}$

charges for the capacity on all three systems of approximately $\$3.2\ \text{million}$

to \$4.5 million, depending upon resolution of federal rate

issues. The agreements provide for service with PGT, ANG and NOVA for 15 $\,$

years, 10 years and 6 years, respectively. However, PGE has renewal rights

upon expiration and the right to assign unused capacity to other parties.

In order to fully utilize these contracts for its facilities, PGE may incur

a capital expenditure in 1995 of approximately \$17\$ million for pipeline

construction or may incur additional capacity charges in lieu of construction.

Subsidiaries

Management's Discussion and Analysis of

Financial

Condition and Results of Operations

Customer Growth and Revenues

During the second quarter of 1994, 3,000 retail customers were added to PGE's service territory. For the twelve-months ended June 30, 1994, 13,000 retail customers were added. PGE's weather-adjusted retail energy sales through the second quarter of 1994 were 2.7% higher than weather-adjusted retail energy sales for the same period in 1993. The Company expects 1994 load growth to be approximately 2.6%.

Seasonality

PGE's retail sales peak in the winter, therefore, second quarter earnings are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for

fiscal year

Nonutility

Portland General Corporation (Portland General), Portland General Holdings,

Inc. (Holdings) and certain Portland General affiliated individuals have

been named in a class action suit by investors in Bonneville Pacific $\,$

Corporation (Bonneville Pacific) and in a suit filed by the bankruptcy

trustee for Bonneville Pacific. The class action suit alleges various

violations of securities law, fraud and misrepresentation. The suit by the $\ensuremath{\,}^{}$

bankruptcy trustee for Bonneville Pacific alleges common law fraud, breach

of fiduciary duty, tortious interference, negligence, negligent misrepresentation and other actionable wrongs.

Regarding the class action suit, in May 1994 the U.S. District Court for $\,$

the District of Utah (the Court) issued an order dismissing the claims

filed by the plaintiffs against Portland General, Holdings and the Portland

General affiliated individuals for common law fraud and negligent misrepresentation, primary liability for violations of the federal

securities laws and secondary liability for aiding and abetting and

conspiracy to violate the federal securities laws. The order permanently

dismisses the secondary liability claims. The Court stated that it will

consider an amendment to the complaint with regard to the other claims.

The Court also held that it would not consider the claims for Utah state

securities law violations until certain issues are addressed by the Utah

state courts.

Holdings has filed a complaint seeking approximately $228 \, \text{million}$ in

damages against Deloitte & Touche and certain parties associated with

Bonneville Pacific alleging that it relied on fraudulent and negligent

statements and omissions when it acquired a 46% interest in and made loans to Bonneville Pacific.

Subsidiaries

Management's Discussion and Analysis of

Financial

Condition and Results of Operations

A detailed report released in June 1992, by a U.S. Bankruptcy examiner

outlined a number of questionable transactions that resulted in gross

exaggeration of Bonneville Pacific's assets prior to Holdings' investment.

This report includes the examiner's opinion that there was significant

mismanagement and very likely fraud at Bonneville Pacific. These findings

support management's belief that a favorable outcome on these matters can

be achieved.

For background information and further details, see Note 3, Legal Matters,

in Notes to Financial Statements.

Results of Operations

1994 Compared to 1993 for the Three Months Ended June 30

Portland General earned \$24 million or \$0.48 per share for the second

quarter of 1994, compared with \$13 million or \$0.28 per share in 1993.

Increased earnings were primarily the result of $6 \, \text{million}$, after tax, in

previously recorded real estate reserves relating to discontinued operations which were restored to income in June 1994. Excluding discontinued operations, 1994 earnings would have been \$17 million. Net

operating income was consistent with the earlier period due to $\operatorname{customer}$

growth, higher wholesale sales and nuclear cost savings helping to offset

the effects of mild weather and poor regional water conditions in 1994.

Operating revenues rose \$10 million and variable power costs increased \$18

million in 1994 resulting in an \$8 million decline in margin. The decline

in margin is primarily the result of higher average variable power costs in 1994.

Increased operating revenues reflect improved wholesale sales and continued

growth in the retail market. Wholesale megawatt-hour sales increased 43%

due to increased availability of power and opportunities for sales.

Despite mild 1994 weather, retail revenues rose \$5 million partially due to

an increase in the number of customers. A decrease in RPA exchange

benefits (due to the 1993 BPA rate increase) resulted in a \$3 million

increase in retail revenues and a corresponding increase in variable power

costs, therefore having no effect on margin.

Variable power costs rose significantly in 1994. Poor water conditions in $\,$

the region resulted in higher purchased power costs and increased generation at PGE thermal plants. Average variable power costs rose to

17.4 mills per kilowatt-hour (10 mills = 1 cent) in 1994 from

15.2 mills per kilowatt-hour in 1993, resulting in approximately \$9 million

more 1994 expense. Total system send-out (megawatt-hours purchased and generated,

net) increased by 204,103 megawatt-hours or 5% to meet the needs

increased retail and wholesale sales. The decrease in RPA exchange $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

benefits caused a \$3 million increase in variable power costs and retail

revenues as discussed above.

Operating expenses (excluding variable power costs and depreciation)

operating cost savings due to fewer personnel at $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Trojan}}$. During the second

Subsidiaries

Management's Discussion and Analysis of

Financial

Condition and Results of Operations

quarter of 1994, \$3 million of nuclear operating costs were expensed to operating expenses.

The increase in other income reflects a \$2 million gain, after tax, on the sale of nonutility property.

The Company has substantially completed the divestiture of its real estate portfolio with the disposition of its largest remaining holdings.

As a

result \$6 million, after tax, of previously recorded real estate reserves

were restored to income in the second quarter of 1994.

1994 Compared to 1993 for the Six Months Ended June 30

Portland General earned \$63 million or \$1.28 per share for the six months

ended June 30, 1994, compared with \$50 million or \$1.05 per share for the

1993 period. Higher operating revenues, nuclear cost savings and the

divestiture of real estate holdings resulted in increased earnings in 1994.

Excluding discontinued operations which contributed \$6 million, after tax,

in previously recorded real estate reserves which were restored to income,

1994 earnings would have been \$57 million.

Operating revenues increased \$11 million and variable power costs rose \$29

million resulting in an \$18 million decline in margin. The decline in

margin is primarily due to \$17 million fewer accrued revenues associated $\,$

with the deferral of replacement power costs and an increase in variable

power costs. The lower margin is offset by nuclear operating cost savings of \$24 million.

The increase in operating revenues reflects improved wholesale revenues

offset by lower retail revenues. Wholesale revenues rose \$15 million due

to increased availability of power and opportunities for sales. During $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

1994, PGE sold 82% more wholesale energy than in 1993.

Retail revenues decreased \$3 million. Despite 2.7% load growth, retail

megawatt-hour sales declined slightly as a result of mild weather in 1994

and colder than normal weather in 1993. In 1994, \$19 million in revenues

associated with the 50% deferral of replacement power costs (see Power Cost

Recovery in the Financial and Operating Outlook section above) were $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

accrued, down from \$36 million relating to the 80% deferral in 1993. The

PUC granted the lower deferral rate to reflect expected nuclear operating

cost savings. The lower deferral rate, coupled with lower power

costs

during the deferral period ending March 31, 1994, reduced the amount of the power cost deferral. The decrease in RPA exchange benefits caused a \$16 million increase in retail revenues and a corresponding increase in variable power costs, therefore having no effect on margin.

Variable power costs rose 21%. Increased wholesale sales resulted in a 453,387 megawatt-hour or 5% increase in total system send-out. This caused variable power costs to increase approximately \$8 million in 1994.

Poor regional water conditions resulted in increased generation at PGE thermal

plants. Average variable power costs rose slightly to 18.9 mills $\ensuremath{\mathsf{per}}$

kilowatt-hour in 1994 from 18.4 mills per kilowatt-hour in 1993. Decreased

RPA exchange benefits resulted in a \$16 million increase in variable power

costs and retail revenues as discussed above.

Subsidiaries

Management's Discussion and Analysis of

Financial

Condition and Results of Operations

The Company continued to experience significant nuclear cost savings. Due to fewer personnel at Trojan, nuclear operating costs declined \$24 million in 1994 resulting in a 16% decrease in operating expenses (excluding variable power costs and depreciation). During the six months ended June 30, 1994, \$7 million of nuclear operating costs were expensed to operating expenses.

The Company recorded a \$2 million gain, after tax, on the sale of nonutility property which is included in other income.

The divestiture of real estate holdings resulted in \$6 million, after tax,

of previously recorded real estate reserves which were restored to income

in the second quarter of 1994.

1994 Compared to 1993 for the Twelve Months Ended June 30

Portland General earned \$102 million or \$2.11 per share for the twelve

months ended June 30, 1994, compared with \$102 million or \$2.15 per share

for the 1993 period. Earnings for 1994 would have been \$96 million

excluding the \$6 million, after tax, of previously recorded real estate

reserves restored to income. Excluding the effects of $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Trojan}}$ steam

generator repair costs of $$11\ million$, after tax, which were restored to

1992 calendar earnings (and included in the 1993 twelve month period), 1993

earnings would have been \$91 million.

Operating revenues rose \$40 million and variable power costs increased \$88 million in 1994 resulting in a \$48 million decline in margin. The decline in margin is primarily the result of higher average variable power costs.

The increase in operating revenues is primarily due to a 5% rise in retail revenues.

Increased variable power costs reflect a 21% increase in the amount of power purchased by PGE in 1994. During the 1993 twelve month period, Trojan supplied 10% of PGE's total system send-out at an average price of 3.5 mills per kilowatt-hour. Increased purchases to

replace Trojan generation helped to drive the average variable power cost

up from 16.5 mills per kilowatt-hour in 1993 to 19.6 mills per kilowatt-

hour in 1994.

Operating expenses (excluding variable power costs and depreciation) declined \$64 million in the 1994 period. This was primarily due to \$62

million in nuclear operating cost savings.

Subsidiaries

Management's Discussion and Analysis of

Financial

Condition and Results of Operations

Depreciation, decommissioning and amortization rose 23% as a result of the capitalization of \$18 million (\$11 million, after tax) of steam generator repair costs in the 1993 period as discussed above.

The divestiture of real estate holdings resulted in \$6 million, after tax, of previously recorded real estate reserves being restored to income in the second quarter of 1994.

Cash Flow

Portland General Corporation

Portland General requires cash to pay dividends to its common stockholders,

to provide funds to its subsidiaries, to meet debt service obligations and

for day to day operations. Sources of cash are dividends from PGE , its

principal subsidiary, asset sales and leasing rentals, short- and intermediate-term borrowings and the sale of its common stock.

Portland General received \$15.4 million in dividends from PGE during the $\,$

second quarter of 1994 and \$2.2 million in proceeds from the issuance of

shares of common stock under its Dividend Reinvestment and Optional Cash Payment Plan.

Portland General Electric Company

Cash Provided by Operations

Operations are the primary source of cash used for day to day operating

needs of PGE and funding of construction activities. PGE also obtains cash

from external borrowings, as needed.

A significant portion of cash from operations comes from depreciation and

amortization of utility plant, charges which are recovered in customer

revenues but require no current cash outlay. Changes in accounts receivable and accounts payable can also be significant contributors or

users of cash. The decrease in cash flow from operations, when comparing

second quarter 1994 to second quarter 1993, is primarily due to fewer

deferred income taxes and higher receivables.

Future cash requirements may be affected by the ultimate outcome of the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{IRS}}$

audit of PGE's 1985 WNP-3 abandonment loss deduction. The IRS has issued a

statutory notice of tax deficiency, which Portland General is contesting,

related to its examination of Portland General's 1985 tax return.

See Note

4, Income Taxes, for further information.

PGE has been named a "potentially responsible party" (PRP) of PCB contaminants at various environmental cleanup sites. The total

cost of cleanup is estimated at \$27 million, of which the Company's share is

Subsidiaries

Management's Discussion and Analysis of

Financial

Condition and Results of Operations

approximately \$3 million. PGE has made an assessment of the other involved

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{PRP's}}$ and is satisfied that they can meet their share of the obligation.

Should the eventual outcome of these environmental matters result

additional cash requirements, PGE expects internally generated cash flows

or external borrowings to be sufficient to fund such obligations.

Investing Activities

PGE invests in facilities for generation, transmission and distribution of

electric energy and for energy efficiency improvements. Estimated

capital expenditures for 1994 are expected to be \$250 million. This

represents a decrease from the previous estimate of \$265 million due to

construction activity delays relating to various new generating resources. Completion of the Coyote Springs Generation Project

megawatt cogeneration facility), previously estimated for fall 1995, is now

estimated for the 1995/6 winter due to delays in the site certification

process. The Company does not expect to incur significant additional costs

as a result of this delay. Approximately \$124 million has been expended

for capital projects, including energy efficiency, through June 30, 1994.

PGE continues to fund an external trust for the future costs of $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Trojan}}$

decommissioning. Funding began in March 1991. Currently PGE funds \$11

million $% \left(1\right) =0$ each year. As of June 30, 1994, the fund had a current market

value of \$53 million which was invested in investment-grade tax-exempt

bonds. Upon approval from the NRC these funds will become available to $\ensuremath{\mathsf{PGE}}$

for use in the removal of some of Trojan's large components in addition to

other future decommissioning activities.

Financing Activities

Second quarter 1994 financing activities include a \$20 million sinking fund

redemption of 200,000 shares of PGE's \$100 par value 8.10% preferred stock

series. The redemption included 100,000 optional shares in addition to the $\,$

required 100,000 share redemption.

In May 1994, PGE borrowed \$20 million from the assets of its Corporate

Owned Life Insurance (COLI) policy. The COLI loan is a long-term variable

rate (6.5% in 1994) arrangement with varying maturity dates. Proceeds were $\,$

used for PGE's construction program.

Portland General replaced expiring committed credit facilities in July

1994. As a result, Portland General now has a \$35 million

committed

facility expiring in July 1995. PGE has a committed facility of \$120

million expiring in July 1997 and an \$80 million commitment expiring July

1995. The lines of credit have annual facility fees ranging from 0.125 to

 ${\tt 0.20}$ percent and do not require compensating cash balances. The facilities

are used primarily as backup for commercial paper. Portland General

has a commercial paper facility of \$35 million and PGE has a \$200

million commercial paper facility.

The issuance of additional preferred stock and First Mortgage Bonds

requires PGE to meet earnings coverage and security provisions set forth in $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

the Articles of Incorporation and the Indenture securing its $\mbox{\sc First Mortgage}$

Bonds. As of June 30, 1994, PGE could issue $$460\ \text{million}$ of preferred

stock and \$440 million of additional First Mortgage Bonds.

Graph Description, Page 8 Quarterly Increase in Retail Customers

Quarter/Year	Increase in Residential Customers	Increase in Commercial and Industrial Customers
10 1992	2,374	344
20 1992	1,839	427
30 1992	2,272	376
40 1992	2,927	380
10 1993	2,025	275
2Q 1993	1,697	429
3Q 1993	2,802	446
4Q 1993	2,775	563
1Q 1994	2,986	390
2Q 1994	2,476	550

Graph Description, Page 12 Gross Margin 12 Months Ending June 30

	Mills/kWh		
	1992	1993	1994
		_	
Net Variable Power	7	9	14
Retail Revenues	49	50	52

Graph Description, Page 11 Operating Expenses 12 Months Ending June 30

	Millions of		Dollars	
	1992	1993	1994	
Operating Costs	349	324	261	
Variable Power	244	252	341	
Depreciation	117	100	123	

Graph Description, Page 11 PGE Electricity Sales 12 Months Ending June 30

Billions of kWhs		
1992	1993	1994
6.2	6.7	6.6
5.7	5.9	6.1
3.6	3.7	3.8
3.3	2.0	2.2
	6.2 5.7 3.6	1992 1993 6.2 6.7 5.7 5.9 3.6 3.7

Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three Months, Six Months and Twelve Months Ended June 30, 1994 and 1993

(Unaudited)

Three Months Ended

Six Months Ended Twelve Months Ended

June 30

June 30 June 30

1994 1993

1994 1993 1994 1993

(Thousands of Dollars)

Operating Revenues \$480,124 \$468,978 \$957,975	\$202,110 \$918,020	\$192,146
Operating Expenses		
Purchased power and fuel	63,847	45,401
164,817 136,209 340,321	252,277	10.000
Production and distribution	15,607	18,999
31,013 39,590 64,999	87,827	
Maintenance and repairs	14,069	17,448
22 220 22 566 45 002	72 074	
23,228 32,566 45,982 Administrative and other	73,874 25,294	26,625
Administrative and other	20,204	20,020
47,726 51,196 96,851	106,994	
Depreciation, decommissioning and		00.101
amortization	30,399	30,161
61,248 60,905 122,561	99,917	
Taxes other than income taxes	12,793	13,756
27,087 29,881 52,936	55,870	150 200
355,119 350,347 723,650	162,009 676,759	152,390
720,000	070,700	
Operating Income Before		
Income Taxes	40,101	39,756
125,005 118,631 234,325	241,261	
Income Taxes	9,089	8,582
	,	-,
36,877 32,270 72,127	68,962	
Net Operating Income	31,012	31,174
88,128 86,361 162,198	172,299	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,	
Other Income (Deductions)		
Interest expense	(17,868)	(18,085)
(34,919) (35,825) (69,896)	(71,900)	
Allowance for funds used during construction	800	215
adi ing conceración		
1,264 388 1,661	2,349	
Preferred dividend requirement - PG	(2,646)	(3,001)
(5,634) (6,069) (11,611)	(12,205)	
Other - net of income taxes	6,195	3,025
	,	,
7,819 5,029 13,540	11,034	
Income from Continuing Operations	17,493	13,328
56,658 49,884 95,892	101,577	
Discontinued Operations		
Gain on disposal of real estate		
operations - net of income taxes	0 470	
of \$4,226	6,472	-
6,472 - 6,472	-	
•		

Net Income			\$ 23,965	\$ 13,328
\$ 63,130	\$ 49,884	\$102,364	\$101,577	
Common Stock		lė		47.054.070
49,411,959	ares outstand 47,298,907 er average sh	⁴⁸ , 449, 925	60,145,565 6 47,163,990	, ,
	ng Operations		\$0.35	\$0.28
	\$1.05 disposal of m		\$2.15	
	operations		0.13	0.00
0.13	0.00	0.13	0.00	
Earnings p	er average sh	nare	\$0.48	\$0.28
\$1.28	\$1.05	\$2.11	\$2.15	
Dividends	declared per	share	\$0.30	\$0.30
\$0.60	\$0.60	\$1.20	\$1.20	

Consolidated

Statements of Retained Earnings for the

Three Months, Six Months,

and Twelve Months ended June 30, 1994 and 1993

(Unaudited)

Six Months	Endad	Twelve Month		Months Ended
SIX MUIILIIS	Ended	IMEIVE MOILLI		une 30
June 30		June 30	1004	1000
1994	1993	1994	1994 1993	1993
(Thousands	of Dollars)			
Balance at 81,159	Beginning of \$ 50,481	Period \$ 71,240	\$104,939 \$ 30,009	\$ 72,481 \$
Net Income	<i>-</i> ,	· -, - · -	23,965	13,328
63,130	49,884	,	101,577	
	enefit & Amort I Stock Premiu		(426)	(363)
(796)	(742)		(3,740)	(333)
, ,	, ,	. , ,	128,478	85,446
143,493	99,623	172,026	127,846	
Dividends D	eclared on			
Common St	cock		15,051	14,206
30,066	,	58,599	56,606	
Balance at \$113,427	<pre># Find of Period # Find 10 Find 10 Find 10 Find 10 # Find 10 Find 10 Find 10 Find 10 Find 10 Find 10 # Find 10 Find 10</pre>	\$113,427	\$113,427 \$ 71,240	\$ 71,240

[FN]

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

Subsidiaries

(Unaudited)

June 30 December 31

1994 1993

(Thousands of Dollars)

Assets

Electric Utility Plant - Original Cost Utility plant (includes Construction Work in Progress of \$109,031 and \$46,679)

\$2,475,693 \$2,370,460

Accumulated depreciation

(930, 473) (894, 284)

1,545,220 1,476,176

Capital leases - less amortization of \$24,711 and \$23,626

12,608 13,693

1,557,828 1,489,869

Other Property and Investments

Leveraged leases

155,302 155,618

Net assets of discontinued real estate operations

10,785 31,378

Trojan decommissioning trust, at market value

53,018 48,861

Corporate Owned Life Insurance less loan of \$19,619 in 1994

55,740 77,612

Other investments

28,020 29,552

302,865 338,021

Current Assets

Cash and cash equivalents

31,379 3,202

Accounts and notes receivable

80,292 91,641

Unbilled and accrued revenues

148,476 133,476

Inventories, at average cost

47,892 Prepayments and other	46,534
12,405	22,128
320,444	296,981
Deferred Charges Unamortized regulatory ass Trojan abandonment - Pla	ets nt
354,543 Trojan abandonment - Dec	366,712 commissioning
345,726 Trojan - other	355,718
66,443 Income taxes recoverable	66,387
219,128 Debt reacquisition costs	228,233
33,593 Energy efficiency progra	34,941 ms
47,751 Other	39,480
32,019 WNP-3 settlement exchange ag	33,857 reement
175,655 Miscellaneous	178,003
23,533	21,126
1,298,391	1,324,457
\$3,479,528	3,449,328
Capitali	zation and Liabilities
Capitalization Common stock	
\$ 188,143 \$ Other paid-in capital	178,630
556,090 Unearned compensation	519,058
(16,195) Retained earnings	(19,151)
113,427	81,159
841,465	759,696
Cumulative preferred stock of Subject to mandatory redem	
50,000 Not subject to mandatory r	70,000 redemption
69,704 Long-term debt	69,704
828,122	842,994
1,789,291	1,742,394
Current Liabilities	1,742,394 red stock due within one year

Short-term borrowing	S	
160,548 Accounts payable and	159,414 other accruals	
80,104 Accrued interest	109,479	
18,329 Dividends payable	18,581	
18,013 Accrued taxes	17,657	
36,359	25,601	
367,289	382,346	
Other Deferred income taxe	S	
661,020 Deferred investment	660,248 tax credits	
58,429 Regulatory reserves	60,706	
119,658 Trojan decommissioni	120,410 ng reserve and misc. closure cost	S
401,429 Miscellaneous	407,610	
82,412	75,614	
1,322,948	1,324,588	

 $\ensuremath{\left[\text{FN}\right]}$ The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated balance sheets.

\$3,479,528 \$3,449,328

Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Capitalization as of June 30, 1994 and December 31, 1993

(Unaudited)

June 30 December 31

1994 1993

(Thousands of Dollars)

Common Stock Equity

Common stock, \$3.75 par value per share 100,000,000 shares authorized, 50,171,330 and 47,634,653 shares outstanding

\$ 188,143 \$ 178,630

Other paid-in capital - net

556,090 519,058

Unearned compensation

(16, 195) (19, 151)

Retained earnings

113,427 81,159

841,465 47.0% 759,696 43.6%

Cumulative Preferred Stock

Subject to mandatory redemption

No par value, 30,000,000 shares authorized 7.75% Series, 300,000 shares outstanding

30,000 30,000

\$100 par value, 2,500,000 shares authorized

8.10% Series, 300,000 and 500,000 shares outstanding

30,000 50,000

Current sinking fund

(10,000) (10,000)

50,000 2.8 70,000 4.0

Not subject to mandatory redemption

7.95% Series, 298,045 shares outstanding

29,804 29,804

7.88% Series, 199,575 shares outstanding

19,958 19,958

8.20% Series, 199,420 shares outstanding

19,942 19,942

69,704 3.9 69,704 4.0

```
Long-Term Debt
 First mortgage bonds
    Maturing 1994 through 1999
      4-3/4% Series due April 1, 1994
                                 8,119
      4.70% Series due March 1, 1995
      5-7/8% Series due June 1, 1996
                                 5,366
      6.60% Series due October 1, 1997
                               15,363
      Medium-term notes - 5.65%-9.27%
      242,000
                               242,000
   Maturing 2002 through 2005 - 6.47%-9.07%
      165,845
                              166,283
   Maturing 2021 through 2023 - 7 3/4%-9.46%
      195,000
                              195,000
 Pollution control bonds
    Port of Morrow, Oregon, variable rate
     (Average 2.3% for 1993), due 2013
       23,600
                               23,600
    City of Forsyth, Montana, variable rate
     (Average 2.4% for 1993), due 2013
     through 2016
      118,800
                              118,800
      Amount held by trustee
   (8,559) \\ Port of St. Helens, Oregon, due 2010 and 2014
     (Average variable 2.2%-2.4% for 1993)
       51,600
                               51,600
 Medium-term notes maturing 1994 through 1996 - 7.23%-8.09%
       47,500
                               50,000
 Capital lease obligations
                               13,693
       12,608
 0ther
           40
                                   101
      872,058
                              884,608
  Long-term debt due within one year
      (43,936)
                               (41,614)
      828,122 46.3
                              842,994
                                         48.4
           Total capitalization
```

[FN]

\$1,789,291 100.0%

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

\$1,742,394 100.0%

Portland

General Corporation and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow for the
Three Months, Six
Months and Twelve Months Ended June 30, 1994 and 1993

(Unaudited)

Months Er	nded Six	Months Ended	Twelve	Months Ended	Three
June 30		June 30		June 30	
		1993	1994	1993	1994
		2000			
Operation 0		ed) By -			
Adjustme	63,130 ents to re	\$ 49,884 econcile net i	income	\$101,577	\$ 23,965 \$
Depred 21,900	ciation an 43,221	d amortization 43,765 WNP-3 exchai	on 85,693		21,497 1,173
		2,244			_,
				ojan Plant	5,915
		11,942 deferred cha		11,942 ojan Decomm.	2,805
		5,610 deferred cha		5,610 ojan Other	580
548 Amorti		1,162 deferred cha			2,235
		994 taxes - net		3,708	8,032
	10,844 noncash i	22,138 .ncome	49,792	44,068	(324)
		(893) ng capital:	(1,691)	(2,259)	
(Incre	ease) Decr	ease in rece: (10,565)		(52 422)	14,185
		ease in inve		(32,432)	(2,475)
		(203)		1,365	(47, 267)
(49, 100)	(18,609)	ase) in payal (33,694)	(14,752)	(11, 175)	(47, 367)
18,264	6,104		8,411	7,010	13,722
Gain 1		ontinued opera			(6,472)
- Deferre	(6,472) ed items	-	(6,472)	-	1,991
(220) Miscell	1,689 Laneous -	200 net	(2,173)	(8,870)	6,768
4,290	7,315	4,525	21,903	15,983	46 220
41,654	127,369	107,275	243,627	214,482	46,230
	g Activiti construct	es: ion - new re	sources		(28,191)
	. , ,	-	(81,151)	-	(00.403)
(26,469)	(61,408)	ion - other (47,380) programs	(115,720)	(134,009)	(36,108) (5,198)

(3,745) (10,032) Rentals received fr			(12,155)	3,214
5,456 12,882 Trojan decommission		15,299	15,062	(2,805)
(2,805) (5,610)		(11,220)	(11,220)	
Other investments (2,503) (2,327)	(2,069)	(11,021)	(5,623)	(1,987)
(30,066) (118,980)	(51,595)	(225,870)	(147,945)	(71,075)
Financing Activities Short-term debt - n 13,297 1,134		25, 276	60. 963	40,283
Borrowings from Cor				19,619
- 19,619		19,619	-	
Long-term debt issu 177,000 -	177,000	75,000	237,000	- (000)
Long-term debt reti (185,550) (11,465)	(194,239)) (275,690	(233) 9)
Repayment of nonrec leveraged leases		•	(40,007)	(2,902)
(4,473) (12,061) Preferred stock ret	ired		. , ,	(20,000)
(3,600) (20,000) Common stock issued		(20,000)	(31,225)	1,899
2,262 45,206	4,942	49,784	10,182	(15 402)
Dividends paid (14,255) (29,710)	(28,401)	(58,159)	(56,698)	
(15,319) (7,277)	(59,119)	(19,293)	(68,455)	23,184)
Net Cash Provided By Continuing Operatio				(1,661)
(3,731) 1,112	(3,439)	(1,536)	(1,918)	
Discontinued Operati	ons			26,454
793 27,065 Increase (Decrease)	,	27,836	(375)	
Cash Equivalents (2,938) 28,177	(1,610)	26,300	(2,293)	24,793
Cash and Cash Equiva of Period	lents at the	e Beginning		6,586
	6,689	5,079	7,372	
Cash and Cash Equiva of Period	ients at the	e End		\$ 31,379 \$
				Ф 31,319 Ф

Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information Cash paid during the period:

casn pa:	ia during	tne perioa:				
Interes	st			\$	20,330	\$
21,414	\$ 32,938	\$ 38,524	\$ 68,675	\$ 74,044		
Income taxes					18,450	
10,860	18,239	10,370	20,128	18,845		

 $\ensuremath{[{\sf FN}]}$ The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

Subsidiaries

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 1

Principles of Interim Statements

The interim financial statements have been prepared by Portland General

Corporation (Portland General) and, in the opinion of management,

all material adjustments which are necessary to a fair statement of results

for the interim periods presented. Certain information and footnote

disclosures made in the last annual report on Form 10-K have been condensed

or omitted for the interim statements. Certain costs are estimated for

the full year and allocated to interim periods based on the estimates of

operating time expired, benefit received or activity associated with the

interim period. Accordingly, such costs are subject to year-end adjustment. It is Portland General's opinion that, when the interim

statements are read in conjunction with the 1993 Annual Report on Form 10-

K, the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading.

Reclassifications

Certain amounts in prior years have been reclassified for comparative purposes.

Note 2

Regulatory Matters

Public Utility Commission of Oregon

Portland General Electric Company (PGE) had sought judicial review of three

rate matters related to a 1987 general rate case. In 1989, PGE reserved

\$89 million for an unfavorable outcome of these matters. July 1990 PGE

reached an out-of-court settlement with the Oregon Public Utility Commission (PUC) on two of the three rate matter issues being litigated.

As a result of the settlement \$16 million was restored to income in 1990.

The settlement resolved the dispute with the PUC regarding treatment of

accelerated amortization of certain investment tax credits (ITC) and 1986-

1987 interim relief. As a settlement of the interim relief issue, PGE

refunded approximately \$17 million to customers.

In 1991 the Utility Reform Project (URP) petitioned the PUC to reconsider

the order approving the settlement. The Oregon legislature subsequently

passed a law clarifying the PUC's authority to approve the settlement. As

a result, the PUC issued an order implementing the settlement. URP filed

an appeal in Multnomah County Circuit Court to overturn the PUC's order

implementing settlement which was later dismissed in December 1992.

In addition, the Citizen's Utility Board (CUB) filed a complaint in 1991 in

Marion County Circuit Court seeking to modify, vacate, set aside or reverse $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right$

the PUC's order implementing settlement. In September 1992 the Marion $\,$

County Circuit Court judge issued a decision upholding the PUC

Subsidiaries

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited)

orders approving the settlement. \mbox{CUB} appealed this decision to the \mbox{Oregon}

Supreme Court which denied CUB's petition for review in April 1994.

The settlement, however, did not resolve the Boardman/Intertie gain issue,

which the parties continue to litigate. PGE's position is that 28% of the

gain should be allocated to customers. The 1987 rate order allocated 77% $\,$

of the gain to customers over a 27-year period. PGE has fully reserved

this amount, which is being amortized over a 27-year period in accordance

with the 1987 rate order. The unamortized gain, \$120 million at June 30,

1994, is shown as "Regulatory reserves" on the balance sheet.

Note 3

Legal Matters

WNP Cost Sharing

PGE and three other investor-owned utilities (IOUs) are involved in

litigation surrounding the proper allocation of shared costs between

Washington Public Power Supply System (Supply System) Units 1 and 3 and

Units 4 and 5. A court ruling, issued in May 1989, stated that Bond

Resolution No. 890, adopted by the Supply System, controlled disbursement

of proceeds from bonds issued for the construction of Unit 5, including the

method for allocation of shared costs. It is the IOUs' contention that at

the time the project commenced there was agreement among the parties as to

the allocation of shared costs and that this agreement and the Bond

Resolution are consistent, such that the allocation under the agreement is

not prohibited by the Bond Resolution.

In February 1992, the Court of Appeals ruled that shared costs between

Units 3 and 5 should be allocated in proportion to benefits under the $\,$

equitable method supported by PGE and the ${\tt IOUs.}\$ A trial remains necessary

to assure that the allocations are properly performed.

Bonneville Pacific Class Action Suit and Lawsuit

A consolidated case of all previously filed class actions has been filed in

U.S. District Court for the District of Utah (the Court), purportedly on

behalf of purchasers of common shares and convertible subordinated

debentures of Bonneville Pacific Corporation (Bonneville Pacific) in the $\,$

period from August 18, 1989 until January 22, 1992, alleging violations of

federal and Utah state securities laws, common law fraud and negligent

misrepresentation. The defendants are specific Bonneville

Pacific

insiders, Portland General, Portland General Holdings, Inc. (Holdings), certain Portland General affiliated individuals, Deloitte & Touche and three underwriters of a Bonneville Pacific offering of subordinated debentures.

In May 1994 the Court issued an order dismissing the claims filed by the plaintiffs against Portland General, Holdings and the Portland General affiliated individuals for common law fraud and negligent misrepresentation, primary liability for violations of the federal securities laws and secondary liability for aiding and abetting and conspiracy to violate the federal securities laws. The order permanently dismisses the secondary liability claims. The Court stated that it will

Portland General Corporation and Subsidiaries

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited)

consider an amendment to the complaint with regard to the other claims.

The Court also

held that it would not consider the claims $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

violations until certain issues are addressed by the Utah state courts.

A separate legal proceeding has been initiated by the bankruptcy trustee

for Bonneville Pacific who has filed an amended complaint against Portland

General, Holdings and certain affiliated individuals in US District Court

for the District of Utah alleging common law fraud, breach of fiduciary

duty, tortious interference, negligence, negligent misrepresentation and $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

other actionable wrongs. The original suit was filed by Bonneville Pacific

prior to the appointment of the bankruptcy trustee. The amount of damages

sought is not specified in the complaint.

Other Legal Matters

Portland General and certain of its subsidiaries are party to various other

claims, legal actions and complaints arising in the ordinary course of $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

business. These claims are not considered material.

Summary

While the ultimate disposition of these matters may have an impact on the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

results of operations for a future reporting period, management believes,

based on discussion of the underlying facts and circumstances with legal

counsel, that these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the $\,$

financial condition of Portland General.

Other Bonneville Pacific Related Litigation

Holdings filed complaints seeking approximately 228 million in damages in

the Third Judicial District Court for Salt Lake County (Utah) against

Deloitte & Touche and certain other parties associated with Bonneville

Pacific alleging that it relied on fraudulent and negligent statements and

omissions by Deloitte & Touche and the other defendants when it acquired a $\ensuremath{\mathsf{a}}$

46% interest in and made loans to Bonneville Pacific starting in September 1990.

Note 4

Income Taxes

The IRS has issued a statutory notice of tax deficiency, which $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Portland}}$

General is contesting, related to its examination of Portland General's

1985 tax return. The IRS has proposed to disallow PGE's 1985 WNP-3 $\,$

abandonment loss deduction on the premise that it is a taxable exchange.

Portland General disagrees with this position and will take appropriate

action to defend its deduction. Management believes that it has

appropriately provided for probable tax adjustments and is of the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{opinion}}$

 $\ensuremath{\text{that}}$ the ultimate disposition of this matter will not have a material

adverse impact on the financial condition of Portland General.

Portland General Corporation and Subsidiaries

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 5

Trojan Nuclear Plant

Shutdown - In early 1993, PGE ceased commercial operation of Trojan as $\,$

recommended in PGE's Least Cost Plan (LCP). On June 3, 1993 the PUC

acknowledged PGE's LCP.

Decommissioning Estimate - PGE estimates the total cost to decommission

Trojan, including costs incurred to date, to be \$409 million, reflected in

nominal dollars (actual dollars expected to be spent in each year). The

decommissioning cost estimate includes the cost of decommissioning

planning, removal and burial of irradiated equipment and facilities as

required by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC); building demolition $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

and nonradiological site remediation; and spent nuclear fuel management

costs including licensing, surveillance and transition costs. Transition $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left$

costs of \$75 million are the costs associated with operating and maintaining the spent fuel pool and securing the plant until dismantlement $\frac{1}{2}$

can begin. While most decommissioning costs will utilize funds from PGE's

Nuclear Decommissioning Trust (NDT), transition costs will continue to be $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

paid from current operating funds.

The decommissioning plan represents a site-specific decommissioning cost

estimate performed for Trojan by an experienced decommissioning engineering

firm and assumes that the majority of decommissioning activities will occur

between 1998 and 2002, after construction of a temporary dry spent fuel

storage facility. Decommissioning of the temporary dry spent fuel storage

facility and final nonradiological site remediation activities will occur

in 2018 after PGE completes shipment of spent fuel to a United States

Department of Energy (USDOE) facility. In 1994, transition costs have

leveled off and are estimated to continue at \$10 to \$15 million per year.

As of June 30, 1994, PGE has expensed approximately \$6 million in transition costs for 1994. In addition, since plant closure PGE has spent

\$2 million on decommissioning planning and related activities resulting in

a remaining decommissioning liability, including transition costs, of \$401 million.

PGE plans to submit a formal decommissioning plan to the NRC and ${\sf Energy}$

Facility Siting Council of Oregon (EFSC) in late 1994. This is later than

the previously expected submittal date of \min -1994 due to the additional

time required to further evaluate decommissioning options. The $\ensuremath{\mathsf{NRC}}$ and

EFSC rules require the plan be submitted before January 23, 1995.

Presently, PGE is planning to accelerate the removal of some of $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Trojan's}}$

large components which is expected to result in overall decommissioning

cost savings. Since the Company plans to begin this work in 1994, prior to

receiving NRC and EFSC approval of its formal decommissioning plan, $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

specific approval will be required from EFSC. Request for this approval

was filed with EFSC on July 7, 1994. Additionally, the NRC must approve

the use of PGE's NDT funds for removal of large components.

Portland General Corporation and Subsidiaries

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Assumptions used to develop the site-specific cost estimate for decommissioning represent the best information PGE has currently.

PGE is

continuing to evaluate various options which could change the timing and

scope of decommissioning activities and expects any future changes in $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

estimated decommissioning costs to be incorporated in future revenues to be

collected from customers.

Investment Recovery - PGE filed a general rate case on November 8, 1993, requesting

continued

recovery of Trojan plant costs, including decommissioning. In May 1994,

the PUC issued an order to delay consideration of the Trojan-related issues

and cost of capital in order to allow the PUC Staff to retain an expert to $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

consult and advise the PUC regarding Trojan issues. In July 1994, PGE and

the PUC Staff agreed to delay a final order addressing all rate case

matters to no later than March 31, 1995, contingent upon the PUC approving

PGE's first quarter 1995 deferred accounting application. The delay.

requested by the PUC Staff, provides additional review time for the PUC $\,$

Staff's expert and allows the PUC more time to review the entire rate $\ensuremath{\mathsf{PUC}}$

proceeding and issue a final order.

The analysis performed for the LCP assumed that continued recovery of the

Trojan plant investment, including future decommissioning costs, would be

granted by the PUC. Regarding the authority of the PUC to grant recovery,

the Oregon Department of Justice (Attorney General)issued an opinion that

the PUC may allow rate recovery of total plant costs, including operating

expenses, taxes, decommissioning costs, return of capital invested in the

plant and return on the undepreciated investment. While the $\mbox{\sc Attorney}$

General's opinion does not guarantee recovery of costs associated with the $\ensuremath{\,}^{\circ}$

shutdown, it does clarify that under current law the PUC has authority to $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right$

allow recovery of such costs in rates.

PGE asked the PUC to resolve certain legal and policy questions regarding

the statutory framework for future ratemaking proceedings related to the

recovery of the Trojan investment and decommissioning costs. On August 9,

1993, the PUC issued a declaratory ruling agreeing with the Attorney

General's opinion discussed above. The ruling also stated that the $\mathop{\rm PUC}\nolimits$

will favorably consider allowing PGE to recover in rates some or all of its

return on and return of its undepreciated investment in Trojan, including

decommissioning costs, if PGE meets certain conditions. PGE

believes that

its general rate filing provides evidence that satisfies the conditions

established by the PUC. URP and CUB have appealed the PUC ruling.

Management believes that the PUC will grant future revenues to coverall, or $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right$

substantially all, of Trojan plant costs with an appropriate return.

However, future recovery of the Trojan plant investment and future $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right$

decommissioning costs requires PUC approval in a public regulatory process.

Although the PUC has allowed PGE to continue, on an interim basis,

collection of these costs in the same manner as prescribed in $\ensuremath{\mathsf{PGE's}}$ last

general rate proceeding, the PUC has yet to address recovery of $\ensuremath{\mathsf{costs}}$

related to a prematurely retired plant when the decision to close the plant $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right)$

was based upon a least cost planning process. Due to uncertainties

inherent in a public process, management cannot predict, with certainty,

whether all, or substantially all, of the \$355 million Trojan plant

investment and \$346 million of decommissioning charges (to be

collected through future rates) will be recovered.

Portland General Corporation and Subsidiaries

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Management believes the ultimate outcome of this public regulatory process

will not have a material adverse effect on the financial condition,

liquidity or capital resources of Portland General. However, it may have a

material impact on the results of operations for a future reporting period.

Note 6

Short-Term Borrowings

Portland General replaced expiring committed credit facilities in July

As a result, Portland General now has a \$35 million 1994. ${\tt committed}$

facility expiring in July 1995 and PGE has a committed facility of \$120

million expiring in July 1997 and an \$80 million commitment expiring July

1995. These lines of credit have annual facility fees ranging from

0.125 to 0.20 percent and do not require compensating cash balances. The

facilities are used primarily as backup for commercial paper.

Portland General and PGE have commercial paper facilities of \$35 million

and \$200 million, respectively. The amount of commercial paper outstanding

cannot exceed each company's unused committed lines of credit.

Note 7

Real Estate - Discontinued Operations

Portland General has substantially completed divestiture of its real estate

operations, which consist primarily of Columbia Willamette Development

Company (CWDC). In June 1994, CWDC sold for \$16 million the largest

remaining property in its real estate holdings. As a result \$6 million

was restored to income relating to previously recorded real estate reserves.

operations

At June 30, 1994, the net assets of discontinued real estate primarily consist of deferred taxes.

At June 30, 1994 and December 31, 1993, the net assets of real estate

operations were composed of the following (thousands of dollars):

	June 30, 1994	December 31, 1993
Assets Real estate development Other assets Total assets	\$ 1,987 9,247 11,234	\$18,900 21,234 40,134
Liabilities Reserve for discontinuance - net Net assets	449 - \$10,785	1,632 7,124 \$31,378

Subsidiaries

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 8

Commitments

In May 1994, PGE signed an agreement with Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E) to

take assignment of a portion of PG&E's excess firm natural gas transportation on the Pacific Gas Transmission (PGT) system. In July 1994,

PGE signed an agreement with Alberta & Southern (A&S) to take assignment of

a portion of PG&E's excess Canadian firm transportation on both the Alberta

Natural Gas (ANG) system and the Nova Corporation of Alberta (NOVA) system.

These agreements collectively provide for $41,000\ MMBtu/day$ of delivered

capacity to PGE's existing and proposed natural gas-fired generating

facilities and replace earlier agreements PGE signed with PGT and ANG.

Service under these agreements is scheduled to start in winter 1995/6.

Under the

terms of the agreements, PGE is committed to paying annual demand charges $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

for the capacity on all three systems of approximately \$3.2 million to \$4.5

million, depending upon resolution of federal rate treatment issues. The $\,$

agreements provide for service with PGT, ANG and NOVA for 15 years, 10

years and 6 years, respectively. However, PGE has renewal rights upon

expiration and the right to assign unused capacity to other parties. In

order to fully utilize these contracts for its facilities, PGE may incur a $\,$

capital expenditure in 1995 of approximately \$17\$ million for pipeline

construction or may incur additional capacity charges in lieu of construction.

Portland General Electric Company and

Subsidiaries

Financial Statements and Related

Information

Table of Contents

Page

Number

Management Discussion and Analysis of	
Financial Condition and Results of Operations *	3
'	
Financial Statements	26
Tindholal Statements	20
Notes to Financial Statements **	18

- * The discussion is substantially the same as that disclosed by Portland General and, therefore, is incorporated by reference to information provided on the page number listed above.
- ** The notes are substantially the same as that disclosed by Portland

General and are incorporated by reference to the information $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

provided on the page number shown above.

29,845

31,256

Portland

General Electric Company and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Income for the

Three Months, Six Months and Twelve Months Ended June 30, 1994 and 1993

(Unaudited)

(Unaudit	ed)			
			Three Month	ns Ended
Six Months En	ded	Twelve Month	s Ended June	30
June 30		June 30		
1004	4000	1004	1994	1993
1994	1993	1994	1993	
(Thousands o	f Dollars)			
Operating Rev \$479,445	enues \$467,936	\$956,040	\$201,773 \$915,302	\$191,632
Operating Exp Purchased po			63,847	45,401
164,817 Production a	136,209 nd distributi	340,321 on	252,277 15,607	18,999
31,013 Maintenance	39,590 and repairs	64,999	87,826 14,068	17,448
23,227 Administrati	32,566 ve and other	45,981	73,868 24,405	26,451
46,412	50,377 , decommissio	94,443	104,116	
amortizatio		niing and	30,318	30,076
,	60,714 than income t	,	99,441 12,782	13,800
27,019 Income taxes	29,809	52,886	55,557 12,019	9,072
42,391	36,917	76,964	77,471 173,046	161,247
395,967	386,182	797,866	750,556	,
Net Operating	Income		28,727	30,385
83,478	81,754	158,174	164,746	
Other Income Allowance fo during cons	r equity fund	s used	-	-
- Other	-	-	311 8,464	4,751
10,279 Income taxes	7,090	14,960	8,806 (3,003)	(1,823)
(3,139)	(2,230)	(4,911)	1,812 5,461	2,928
7,140 Interest Char	4,860	10,049	10,929	
	long-term deb	t and other	15,134	16,048

60,406

62,726

Interest on	short-term bor	rowings	1,314	776
2,310	1,660 or borrowed fun	4,093	3,015	
during con:		us useu	(800)	(215)
(1,264)	(388)	(1,661)	(2,038) 15,648	16,609
30,891	32,528	62,838	63,703	
Net Income			18,540	16,704
59,727	54,086	105,385	111,972	
Preferred Div	vidend Requirem	ent	2,646	3,001
5,634	6,069	11,611	12,205	
Income Availa \$ 54,093	able for Common \$ 48,017	Stock \$ 93,774	\$ 15,894 \$ 99,767	\$ 13,703

Consolidated

Statements of Retained Earnings for the

Three Months, Six

Months and Twelve Months Ended June 30, 1994 and 1993

(Unaudited)

Three Months Ended

Six Months Ended Twelve Months Ended

June 30

June 30 June 30

1994 1993

1994 1993 1994 1993

(Thousands of Dollars)

Balance at \$179,297	Beginning of Pe \$165,949	riod \$176,811	\$201,670 \$153,653	\$181,678
Net Income			18,540	16,704
,	54,086 enefit & Amortiz	,	111,972	
Preferred	Stock Premium		(426)	(363)
(796)	(742)	(1,578)	(3,740) 219,784	198,019
238,228	219,293	280,618	261,885	
Dividends D Common sto			15,393	18,207
30,786 Preferred	36,413 stock	67,199	72,826 2,583	3,001
5,634	6,069	11,611	12,248 17,976	21,208
36,420	42,482	78,810	85,074	
Balance at \$201,808	End of Period \$176,811	\$201,808	\$201,808 \$176,811	\$176,811

[FN]

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

```
Portland General Electric Company
```

and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Balance

Sheets

as of June 30, 1994 and

December 31, 1993

(Unaudited)

June 30

December 31

1994

1993

(Thousands of Dollars)

Assets

Electric Utility Plant - Original Cost
Utility plant (includes Construction Work in Progress of \$109,031 and \$46,679)
\$2,475,693 \$2,370,460
Accumulated depreciation
(930,473) (894,284)

1,545,220 1,476,176

Capital leases - less amortization of \$24,711 and \$23,626

12,608 13,693

Trojan decommissioning trust, at market value

53,018 48,861

Corporate Owned Life Insurance less loan \$19,619 in 1994

34,656 52,008 Other investments 25,376 25,706

113,050 126,575

Current Assets

Cash and cash equivalents

4,351 2,099

Accounts and notes receivable

75,373 85,169

Unbilled and accrued revenues

148,476 133,476

Inventories, at average cost

47,892 46,534 Prepayments and other 12,239 20,646

288,331 287,924

Deferred Charges

Unamortized regulatory assets

Trojan abandonment - Plant

354,543 366,712

Trojan abandonment - Decommissioning

345,726 355,718 Trojan - other

66,443 66,387

Income taxes recoverable

219,128 228,233

Debt reacquisition costs 33,593 34,941

Energy efficiency programs

47,751 39,480 0ther 33,857 32,019 WNP-3 settlement exchange agreement 175,655 178,003 Miscellaneous 18,975 21,408 1,296,266 1,322,306 \$3,255,475 \$3,226,674 Capitalization and Liabilities Capitalization \$ Common stock equity 815,067 747,197 Cumulative preferred stock Subject to mandatory redemption 50,000 70,000 Not subject to mandatory redemption 69,704 69,704 Long-term debt 798,122 802,994 1,732,893 1,689,895 Current Liabilities Long-term debt and preferred stock due within one year 36,436 41,614 Short-term borrowings 150,344 129,920 Accounts payable and other accruals 79,755 111,647 Accrued interest 17,009 17,139 Dividends payable 18,268 21,486 Accrued taxes 45,996 27,395 347,808 349,201 0ther Deferred income taxes 527,831 534, 194 Deferred investment tax credits 58,429 60,706 Regulatory reserves 119,658 120,410 Trojan decommissioning reserve and misc. closure costs 401,429 407,610 Miscellaneous 64,658 67,427 1,174,774 1,187,578 \$3,255,475 \$3,226,674 [FN] The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated

balance sheets.

Portland General Electric Company

and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of

Capitalization

as of June 30, 1994 and

December 31, 1993

(Unaudited) June 30

December 31

1994

1993

(Thousands

of Dollars)

Common Stock Equity
Common stock, \$3.75 par value per share,
100,000,000 shares authorized, 42,758,877
and 40,458,877 shares outstanding

\$160,346

\$151,721

Other paid in capital net 468,307

433,978

Unearned compensation (15,394)

(17,799)

Retained earnings 201,808

179,297

815,067

47.0% 747,197 44.2%

Cumulative Preferred Stock

Subject to mandatory redemption

No par value, 30,000,000 shares authorized 7.75% Series, 300,000 shares outstanding 30,000

30,000

\$100 par value, 2,500,000 shares authorized

8.10% Series, 300,000 and 500,000 shares outstanding 30,000

50,000

Current sinking fund (10,000)

(10,000)

50,000

2.9 70,000 4.2

Not subject to mandatory redemption 7.95% Series, 298,045 shares outstanding 29,804

29,804

7.88% Series, 199,575 shares outstanding 19,958

19,958

8.20% Series, 199,420 shares outstanding 19,942

19,942

69,704

4.0 69,704 4.1

Long-Term Debt

First mortgage bonds Maturing 1994 through 1999

4 3/4% Series due April 1, 1994

8,119

4.70% Series due March 1, 1995 3,045

3,220

5 7/8% Series due June 1, 1996 5,216

5,366 6.60% Series due October 1, 1997	15,363
15,363 Medium term notes 5.65% 9.27%	242,000
242,000 Maturing 2002 through 2005 6.47% 9.07%	165,845
166,283 Maturing 2021 through 2023 7 3/4% 9.46%	195,000
195,000 Pollution control bonds Port of Morrow, Oregon, variable rate (Average 2.3% for 1993), due 2013	23,600
23,600 City of Forsyth, Montana, variable rate (Average 2.4% for 1993), due 2013 through 2016	118,800
118,800 Amount held by trustee	(8,559)
(8,537) Port of St. Helens, Oregon, due 2010 and 2014 (Average variable 2.2% - 2.4% for 1993)	51,600
51,600 Capital lease obligations	12,608
13,693 Other	40
101	824,558
834,608 Long term debt due within one year	(26,436)
(31,614)	798,122
46.1 802,994 47.5	
Total capitalization 100.0% \$1,689,895 100.0%	\$1,732,893

 $\ensuremath{[{\sf FN}]}$ The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

Portland

General Electric Company and Subsidiaries

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow for the $$\operatorname{\textsc{Three}}$$ Months and Twelve Months Ended June 30, 1994 and 1993

(Unaudited)

				Three	
Months Ended Six Mo	nths Ended	Twelve	Months Ende	d	
June 30 J	une 30		June 30	1994	
1993 1994	1993 1	1994	1993	1334	
(Thousands of	Dollars)				
Cash Provided (Used) Operations: Net Income 16,704 \$ 59,727 Adjustments to reco cash provided by o Depreciation and 21,887 43,209 Amortization of W 1,122 2,347 Amortization of d 6,093 12,056 Amortization of d 2,805 5,610 Amortization of d	\$ 54,086 ncile net ir perations: amortizatior 43,718 NP-3 exchang 2,244 eferred char 11,942 eferred char 5,610	85,697 ge agreeme 4,592 ges - Tro 24,129 ges - Tro 11,220	\$111,972 et 90,392 nt 5,073 jan Plant 11,942 jan Decomm. 5,610	2,805	\$
548 1,160 Amortization of d	1,162 eferred char			547	
807 2,886 Deferred income t 5,164 4,590 Other noncash inc	axes - net 19,457			(2,987)	
Changes in workin (Increase) Decrea 21,382 (5,137) (Increase) Decrea	se in receiv (5,647)	ables (66,921)	(45,558)	12,440 (2,476)	
(883) (1,359) Increase (Decreas (56,002) (13,421) Other working cap 17,473 5,698 Deferred items (2,401) 1,689 Miscellaneous - net 3,893 2,701	e) in payabl (34,158) ital items - 9,722 (1,981)	les (5,851		14,428 1,991	
38,592 121,756	112,348	248,635	223,529	29,229	
Investing Activities Utility constructio		ources		(28,191)	
- (52,485) Utility constructio (26,469) (61,408) Energy efficiency (3,745) (10,032) Trojan decommission (2,805) (5,610) Other investments	n - other (47,380) programs (6,124) ing trust	81,151) (115,720 (22,057) (11,220)	(12,155)	(5,198) (2,805)	
				,	

(1,514)	(2,546)	(1,975)	(7,704)		
(34,533)	(132,081)	(61,089)	(237,852)	(74,743) (163,053)	
Short-te 12,586	Activities: rm debt - net 20,424 gs from Corpo	(2,028)			
- Long-terr 177,000	19,619 n debt issued -		19,619 75,000	- - 237.000	
Long-terr (171,626)	n debt retire (8,882)	d (180,315)		(150) (241,766)	
(3,600)	d stock retir (20,000) tock issued		(20,000)	(20,000) (31,225)	
Dividends	41,055 s paid		41,055	(18, 444)	
	(39,639) 12,577			44,305	
Cash Equ: (2,709)	2,252 Cash Equivale	(86)		(1,209) (2,058) 5,560	
	2,099 Cash Equivale d			5,386 \$ 4,351	\$
3,328 \$	4,351 \$	3,328 \$	4,351 \$	3,328	
	tal disclosur d during the		flow inform	mation \$ 19.389	\$

Cash paid during	the period:				
Interest			\$	19,389	\$
20,256 \$ 29,765	\$ 34,616	\$ 63,381	\$ 66,173		
Income taxes				31,560	
17,853 25,460	17,853	24,849	45,834		

 $\ensuremath{[\text{FN}]}$ The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated statements.

Part II. Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

For further information, see Portland General's report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993.

UTILITY

Southern California Edison Company (SCE) v. PGE

On August 3, 1994, Southern California Edison (SCE) filed a complaint in Multnomah County Circuit Court in Portland, Oregon claiming PGE's decision to close Trojan violated the terms of a long-term firm power sales and exhcange agreement entered into on July 31, 1986. The 25-year contract is for 75 megawatts of firm energy and capacity plus a 225 megawatt seasonal exchange.

SCE contends that PGE appointed itself liquidator of a substantial portion of its assets under the general bankruptcy default provision of the contract. SCE is seeking termination of the agreement and damages, including a return of payments made to PGE from the date of PGE's alleged default (approximately \$27 million).

Under the agreement SCE is obligated to pay to PGE a reservation fee for system capacity, seasonal exchange and other services equal to \$16.9 million annually. SCE continues to make these payments.

Item 4. Results of Votes of Security Holders

At the Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on May 3, 1994 the matters voted $\,$

upon and the results of voting were as follows:

	For	Against
Abstain		
Election of Class II Directors:		
Carolyn S. Chambers	41,466,466	680,895
603,125		
Ken L. Harrison	41,312,554	892,110
545,822		
Warren E. McCain	41,391,245	690,543
668,698		
Jerome J. Meyer	41,511,087	596,504
642,895		

Ratification of the appointment of Arthur Andersen & Co. as independent public accountants for the year 1994: 41,869,178 343,257 538,051

Names of other directors whose terms of office as director continued after $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1$

the meeting are:

Class I Class III

Jerry E. Hudson Gwyneth E. Gamble Booth
Richard G. Reiten Peter J. Brix

Bruce G. Willison John W. Creighton, Jr.
Randolph L. Miller

Portland General Corporation and

Subsidiaries

Part II. Other Information

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K

a. Exhibits

Number Exhibit Page (24) Powers of Attorney (filed herewith) 33

b. Reports on Form 8-K - None

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the

registrants have duly caused this report to be signed on their behalf by

the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

PORTLAND GENERAL CORPORATION

PORTLAND GENERAL ELECTRIC

COMPANY

(Registrants)

August 12, 1994

By /s/ Joseph E. Feltz

Joseph E. Feltz Assistant Controller Assistant Treasurer

Principal Financial Officer Portland General Corporation Portland General Electric

Company

Joseph M. Hirko* Joseph M. Hirko Vice President Finance, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Accounting Officer and Treasurer

*Signed on behalf of this

person.

August 12, 1994

By /s/ Joseph E. Feltz

Joseph E. Feltz Assistant Controller Assistant Treasurer [TEXT]

POWER OF ATTORNEY

The undersigned Joseph M. Hirko, in his capacity as Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer of Portland General Corporation (the "Corporation"), hereby appoints Joseph E. Feltz, Assistant Controller of the Corporation, as the attorney-in-fact, in any and all capacities stated herein, to execute on behalf of the undersigned and to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Portland General Corporation Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1994.

Dated: August 8, 1994 Portland, Oregon

/s/ Joseph M. Hirko Joseph M. Hirko

POWER OF ATTORNEY

The undersigned Joseph M. Hirko, in his capacity as Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer of Portland General Electric Company (the "Company"), hereby appoints Joseph E. Feltz, Assistant Controller of the Company, as the attorneyin-fact, in any and all capacities stated herein, to execute on behalf of the undersigned and to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Portland General Electric Company Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 1994.

Dated: August 8, 1994 Portland, Oregon

/s/ Joseph M. Hirko Joseph M. Hirko